

FOR MYSORE STATE

H.E.L.A.

(195 ·) Department

No.

SERIES.

G.O. No. 149 Health

Dated

12-1-55

[Despatch Abstract.]

L.A.Q. Rural Medical Relief - South Kanara District - Opening of rural dispensaries - Papers recorded.

LEGISLATURE (ASSEMBLY) SECRETARIAT.

25--9--54.

STARRED.

SRI M.NARAYANAN NAMBIAR, M.L.A.

HEALTH, EDUCATION & LOCAL
ADMINISTRATION DEPARTMENT

*Q.NO:617.

Will the Hon. the Minister for Health
be pleased to state:-

(a) whether the South Kanara
District Board has submitted on
25-9-53 a list of places recommend-
~~ing the opening of rural dispensaries~~
in the district, ^{where rural dispensaries might be opened;} and

(b) if so, the action taken
by the Government thereon?

Pvn/27.9.

Draft - I

3

LEGISLATURE (ASSEMBLY) SECRETARIAT.

SRI M. NARAYANAN NAMBIAR, M.L.A.

HEALTH, EDUCATION AND LOCAL
ADMINISTRATION DEPARTMENT.

*Q.NO.617:

Will the Hon'ble the Minister for
Health be pleased to state:

H.E.L.A.(H.IV)DEPT.

DRAFT ANSWER.

(a) whether the South Kanara District Board
submitted on 25-9-1953 a list of places in the
District where rural dispensaries might be
opened; and
(b) if so, the action taken by the Govt.
{ thereon?

(a) Yes, sir.

(b) The Govt. have issued
instructions to the South
Kanara District Board and
other local bodies that
before they apply to the Govt.
for fresh Govt. subsidies for
rural medical practitioners
and maternity assistants they
should see that the normal
provision of an existing
subsidised rural dispensary
which has been kept closed
for three months is diverted
for opening a rural dispensary
in some other suitable place.

CENTRAL DESPATCH BRANCH

Despatched by	Van.	Post.

Date 28/10/54 me Despatcher's Initials.

16/10/54 16-10-54

18/10/54
SH
291054

HGLAW. 29828 H IV 12-1

To

The Legislature (Assembly) Secretariat (5 copies).
The Public (Elections) Department (2 copies).

copy for the M.L.A. with copy of note for supplement
copy for J.S. H.E.L.A.
copy for file

H 20/10/54

Draft II

NOTE FOR SUPPLEMENTARIES.

Local bodies send proposals every year for Government subsidies for rural medical practitioners and maternity assistants employed or proposed to be employed in rural dispensaries existing already or proposed to be opened newly. Every year these proposals are consolidated and put forward as one Part II scheme for the ensuing year.

2. Last year the South Kanara District Board in its letter dated 25.9.53 referred to in the question submitted proposals for Government subsidies for 45 rural dispensaries. The Board also submitted separately proposals for a few more rural dispensaries. The Board submitted proposals altogether for 48 dispensaries including three existing dispensaries.

3. The L.A. Branch of this Department advised that the South Kanara District Board was not in a position to meet its share of the cost of opening any new rural dispensaries. Accordingly the proposals of the District Board relating to existing rural dispensaries only namely Belpur, Panthodi and Kalavar were included in the consolidated Part II proposals for 1954-55. The S.F.C. however made the following recommendation on the part II proposals:-

"No specific provision need be made for this in the budget. If any existing rural dispensary does not work for three months the ordinary provision for it may be diverted for opening a new dispensary in some other suitable place". The Cabinet accepted the recommendation. Orders were issued accordingly to all local bodies including the South Kanara District Board. Following these orders instructions have also been issued to local bodies that applications for Government subsidies for rural medical practitioners will be entertained only from local bodies in whose areas there are no subsidised rural remaining closed for three months.

4. The opening of non-subsidised rural dispensaries does not require the sanction of the Government. The South Kanara District Board ^{can} if its finances permit, ^{can} open any number of non-subsidised rural dispensaries. The grant of subsidies by

the Government is intended to supplement and not supplant
the efforts of local bodies.

✓ SN
16.10.54

4
18th
54

SN
7.10.54

(117)

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

.....

THE MADRAS LEGISLATIVE (ASSEMBLY) STARRED QUESTION
NO. 617.

SRI M. NARAYANAN NAMBIAR, M.L.A.,
Health, Education and Local Administration Department.

*Q.No. 617.

Will the Hon'ble the Minister for Health be
pleased to state:

(a) whether the South Kanara District Board submitted
on 25-9-1953 a list of places in the District where rural
dispensaries might be opened; and

(b) if so, the action taken by the Government thereon?

HEALTH, EDUCATION AND LOCAL
ADMINISTRATION DEPARTMENT.

A N S W E R

(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The Government have issued instructions to the
South Kanara District Board and other local bodies that ~~before~~ before
they apply to the Government for fresh Government subsidies
for rural medical practitioners and maternity assistants they
should see that the normal provision of an existing subsidised
rural dispensary which has been kept closed for three months
is diverted for opening a rural dispensary in some other
suitable place.

K. Sankaranarayanan 23rd 10/54
for JOINT SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT

To The Legislature (Assembly) Secretariat (5 copies)
To The Public (Elections) Department (2 copies)

The above answer has been approved by
Sri A.B. Shetty, Minister for Health.

H.E. & L.A. U.O. No. 99828 H.IV/54-1 Health
Dated 21st October 1954

2

NOTE FOR SUPPLEMENTARIES.

Local bodies send proposals every year for Government subsidies for rural medical practitioners and maternity assistants employed or proposed to be employed in rural dispensaries existing already or proposed to be opened newly. Every year these proposals are consolidated and put forward as one Part II scheme for the ensuing year.

2. Last year the South Kanara District in its letter dated 25-9-53 referred to in the question submitted proposals for Government subsidies for 45 rural dispensaries. The District Board also submitted separately proposals for a few more rural dispensaries. The Board submitted proposals altogether for 48 dispensaries including three existing dispensaries.

3. The Local Administration Branch of this Department advised that the South Kanara District Board was not in a position to meet its share of the cost of opening any new rural dispensaries. ~~Accordingly~~ Accordingly the proposals of the District Board relating to existing rural dispensaries only namely Belpu Panthodi and Kalavar were included in the consolidated Part II proposals for 1954-55. The Standing Finance Committee however made the following recommendation on the part II proposals:-

"No specific provision need be made for this in the budget. If any existing rural dispensary does not work for three months the ordinary provision for it may be

be diverted for opening a new dispensary in some other suitable place". The Cabinet accepted the recommendation. Orders were issued accordingly to all local bodies including the South Kanara district Board. Following these orders instructions have also been issued to local bodies that applications for Government subsidies for rural medical practitioners will be entertained only from local bodies in whose areas there are no subsidies rural remaining closed for three months.

4. The opening of non-subsidised rural dispensaries does not require the sanction of the Government. The South Kanara District Board can if its finances permit, open any number of non-subsidised rural dispensaries. The grant of subsidies by the Government is intended to supplement and not supplant the efforts of local bodies.

K.S./18-10-54.

L.S./18-10-54.

144

Opening of rural dispensaries in South Kanara district.

117—

* 617 Q.—SRI M. NARAYANAN NAMBIAR: Will the Hon. the Minister for Health be pleased to state—

(a) whether the South Kanara District Board submitted on 25th September 1953 a list of places in the district where rural dispensaries might be opened; and

(b) if so, the action taken by the Government thereon?

MINISTER, HEALTH AND LOCAL
ADMINISTRATION

ANSWER

(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The Government have issued instructions to the South Kanara District Board and other local bodies that ~~where~~ they apply to the Govt. for fresh Government subsidies for rural medical practitioners and maternity assistants they should see that the normal provision of an existing subsidised rural dispensary which has been kept closed for three months is diverted for opening a rural dispensary in some other suitable place.

K. M. Narayanan Nambiar 23/9

FOR J. K. DISTRICT BOARD TO GOVERNMENT

A. K. S. S. S. Put and answered.

A. K. S. S. S. Put and answered.


SRI M. NARAYANAN NAMBIAR: In view of the fact that the villages in Malnad area ^{in Kasargod taluk} have not been provided with medical facilities for a long time past, may I know from the Hon. Minister whether the Government will request the District ^{Board} to open rural dispensaries in these areas?

THE HON. SRI A. S. SHETTY: It will be done, Sir, provided funds permit.

A. K. S. S. S. Put and answered.

Then, it was pointed out that the age of the boys should be taken into account. It is quite true and that is why the scheme wants that boys should not be put to physical and mental strain - nervous strain also - by keeping them under a teacher for so long a period in the morning and then going home and coming back and again attending the afternoon session and therefore the school hours must be reduced on account of age. I will not mind High School boys being asked to attend morning and ~~evening~~ afternoon sessions but it is very bad for young boys to do ~~it~~ all that. For that reason alone, it would be justified to cut down - without any other ideal, goal or object or difference of opinion - the second half of the day for every school-going child between the ages of six and eleven and be content with only three hours, the quality of the teachers being taken into consideration and the physical strain being taken into account. Therefore, I think, much of the argument is in favour of our scheme. Sir, the next thing is about the work programme. Some arguments ^{have been} advanced that the children are too young for the kind of work envisaged in the scheme. I submit that they are ignoring the very elementary and fundamentally important fact of, when really workmen's children learn to work. One must closely examine it not only with an intimate knowledge of facts but also of the mind of the children. Can you say, when a working man begins to learn his craft or his work? He begins it at an early age. Only, it begins imponderably. You cannot say what he has learnt. When a workman's child walks about, he learns the work. I feel that when a boy - whether he belongs to the Brahmin community or any other community, who knows nothing except to read and write with a pen is made to mix with the people who actually work, he will learn a great deal and he will get out of the inferiority complex of doing manual work. That is why, habits should be formed at a very early age. The work is not based on the estimation of the child's physical strength and we feel that

SECRETARIAT OF THE MADRAS LEGISLATURE,
GOVERNMENT ESTATE, MOUNT ROAD,
Madras, dated the 1-10-1954



QUESTION No. 617
be held on the

for the ^{next} meeting of the Legislative Assembly to
1954

Two copies of this question are forwarded to the Health, Education & Local Admin. Department.
The question has been admitted.

Ra
11/10/54

Man
4/10/54

To: Health, Education & Local Admin. Department.

File No.
40,8650 / 54 — 9 M.L.
4-10-54

HELA (H)

The present question is in renewal of the question at P/1 of which lapses with the prorogation of the legislature. The question and answer at P/3 of and the note for supplementaries at P/5-6 of may be re-issued with the question number alone changed.

For orders as to circulation to M(H)
Bette place a copy of the answer & note for supplementaries now in his file

5
11/10/54
SE
11-10-54

15
34

SE

Presumed by
M(H) on
12-54

470/2010

2
A draft answer and a draft note
for supplementary submission for
approval. May be circulated to the H(H)

20/10.1


2
16 Oct 54 512
16.10.54

14
18.10.54

~~8~~

SA. Sridhar
19.10.54

(LH) ~~20/10~~
20/10



Please see the proceedings at p.112
particularly the portion ~~where~~ ^{of} 'A'.
The opening ~~non-subsidised~~ ^{of} rural
dispensaries by the ~~State~~ local bodies
does not require the sanction of Gov.
It is therefore open to the South Kanara
District to open any number of ~~rural~~
non-subsidised rural dispensaries.
But in actual practice it may not be
possible for the Dt.Bd. to open many rural
dispensaries since the Dt.Bd. is a ~~chronically~~
deficit ~~to~~ District Council. Further the consolidated
part II proposals for 1955-56 include
provision for the grant of subsidies for seven
rural dispensaries in South Kanara Dt. In the
circumstances it may not ^{be} necessary to
ask the South Kanara Dt.Bd. to open more
rural dispensaries. For orders

(R)

AV
15.1.55

2
8.1.55 512
8.1.55

AV
15.1.55 55

H(H) may see
K. Sridhar
10.1.55

H(H) ~~20/10~~ 11/1

The papers may now be
received.

$$\begin{array}{r} 144 \\ 12 \overline{) 144} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{r} 9 \\ 114.55 \overline{) 1030.95} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{r} .07 \\ 12.155 \overline{) 0.85} \end{array}$$

Order 149 Health

date 12.1.55

LA 95 - Rural
Medical ~~Medi~~
Office - South Kanab
- Opening of rural
dispensaries - Papers
received.